## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: VOOIS et al. Examiner: Shingles, Kristie D.

Serial No.: 09/597,704 Group Art Unit: 2448

Filed: June 16, 2000 Docket No.: 8X8S.249PA

Allowed: June 30, 2011

Title: COMMUNICATIONS CONTROLLER AND METHOD THEREFOR

## COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE c/o Technology Center 2448 Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Customer No. 40581

The Examiner's statement for allowance could be construed to imply that the claimed invention was allowed because the references of record did not disclose certain aspects of the claimed invention and/or because of certain representations in the record. As the record is already established, Applicant does not acquiesce to any alleged similarities or stated reasons for allowance. The characterized aspects and/or reasons would not necessarily raise issues under § 102 or § 103, as the rigors of these statutes include a showing that the prior art teaches the entire claimed invention (all limitations are to be considered), and a showing that combining the various teachings of the prior art references are suggested in the art, under the proper legal standards. The reasons provided do not appear to be necessarily inconsistent herewith.

Page 2 of 2

Unless Applicant hears otherwise, Applicant's comments herein are, as intended, clarifying in a manner consistent with the law.

Respectfully submitted,

CRAWFORD MAUNU PLLC 1150 Northland Drive, Suite 100

St. Paul, Minnesota 55120

(651) 686-6683

Dated: September 29, 2011.

Robert Crawford

Reg. No.: 32,122